

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/029,712

Applicant(s)

SCHUTT ET AL.

Examiner

Michael G. Hartley

Art Unit

1616

All Participants:

(1) Michael G. Hartley.

(2) John Wurst (appl. repr.).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Confirmed abandonment, as applicant representative could not provide evidence, (such as, a post card receipt) that a response had been timely filed and received by the PTO. No evidence of a response was seen by PTO records (e.g., no extension of time fees were charged to the application)..